MinutesoftheMeetingofthe <u>BOATPARK</u> <u>COMMITTEE</u> heldatthe <u>TownHall</u> on <u>Monday24 thNovember2003</u> at 2.15p.m.

Present:-

CouncillorAHMiller–Chairman CouncillorCBright CouncillorMrsJFarrow CouncillorMrsCGainsborough CouncillorMTyrer

Alsoinattendance:-MrAJLeeson MrGBrookes

MrMCiantar

MrALander

TownClerk OperationsManager BoatParkUser SwanageFisherman'sAssociation

1) Apologies

Apologies for their inability to attend the Meeting were received from Councillor Agelink.

Members of the Committee welcomed MrCiantart othe Meeting. The need for the Boat Park Users Group to be reformed was outlined and how its co-operation would be crucial to taking the Boat Park forward. The Committee requested that MrCiantar reconstitute the User Group. MrCiantaragreed to initiate a Boat Park Users Group, provided that administrative support would be given by the Council.

2) <u>ReviewofMinutesheld3</u> <u>rdNovember2003</u>

Referring to Minute 2) and Minute 5), the Chairman reported on the possibility of funding through the Crime and Disorder Partnership of the South Purbeck Task Group for the barrier entry system, how ever funding would not be available for CCTV. The need to make the area secured ue to the loss of fishing equipment, the the ft of boats and the inability of many boat owners to get in surance should be highlighted.

ACTION: The Clerk will make an application to South Purbeck Task Group for funding of the barrier entry system.

TheOperationsManagerreportedthatheisawaitingquotesfortheinstallationofCCTVatthe BoatParkandthatheneededclarifythepositioningofthecameraandalsothesitingofthereceiver andvideoequipment.Theneedtohaveaccesstothereceiverwasnoted.Severalpossiblelocations weresuggestedandafterabriefdiscussion:-

ItwasAGREED:

Thatpermissiontolocatemonitoringequipmentin

theRNLIbuildingbesoughtbytheTownClerk.

WithreferencetoMinute3),theTownClerkreportedthatletterswillbesentouttothetenants of theFisherman'sHutsbytheendoftheweekandthatthedeadlineforallowingaccesswillbeset

for15 thDecember2003, giving a minimum of two weeks notice of the intent of entry.

The ongoing dispute over the ownership of the slip way are awas discussed at great length and it was noted that all reasonable approaches had failed. It was recognised that the current situation is the result of historical in accuracies and the Town Clerk reiter at edthe fact that the Town Council holds the deeds to the land and therefore, unless the Fisherman can provide information to the contrary, then the

mattershouldbeclosed.

The Operations Manager reported on costs from a Poole contractor for the removal of boats from the slip way. The cost of removal was quoted at £125.00 with a storage fee of £12-£15 per day. After further debate:-

ItwasPROPOSEDbyCouncillorBrightandAGREEDUNANIMOUSLY: ThattheTownClerkwritestoalluserstoinformthemthatifboats areleftontheslipwayabovethespecifiedtimeforstressofweather thattheboatwillberemovedandthatallcostsforremovaland storagewillbeincurredbytheboatowner.

Referring to Minute 5), the control of jets kis, it was recognised by the Committee that the need to regulate the use of jets kis, with regard stoins urance and identification, had not been properly addressed.

ItwasAGREED:

Thattheregulation of jetskisbeformally adopted in the Boat Park Rules & Regulations.

WithfurtherreferencetoMinute5), theOperationsManagerreported that theskiphadbeen removed from the Boat Park. Theskip will be placed in the Boat Park on ceamon thand the Fishermen will be given notice of the dates and times that this will occur. Due to reasons of Health & Safety and the lifting of heavy items, the Operations Manageradvised that multiples mall bins be situated in the Boat Park. After a brief discussion:-

ItwasPROPOSEDbyCouncillorTyrer,SECONDEDbyCouncillorMrsFarrowand AGREEDUNANIMOUSLY: ThattheOperationsManagerpurchaselitterbinsfortheBoat Park.

It was recognised by the Committee that the majority of was teresults from the Fisherman' Huts. The cost of the removal of hazardous was terfrom the Boat Park and the additional charge above the normal cost of the skip and how the second second berecharged was considered. After a lengthy debate:

ItwasAGREEDINPRINCIPLE:

ThattheFishermenarerechargedforthecostsoftheremovalof hazardouswastefromtheBoatPark.

3) <u>ReviewofRulesandRegulations</u>

Arevised copyof the Rules and Regulations was distributed by the Clerk and the contents were discussed by the Committee. With reference to clause 2.8, it was a greed that it should read, "The Town Council reserves the right to close the slip way for launching at any time by reason of stress of weather."

TheinsertionofaclausetocoverHealth&Safetyissueswasnoted,inclause2.13,"Inthe interestofHealth&Safety,thetrailingofelectricalcablesandhosesareprohibitedwithintheBoat Park".

The Clerk suggested that clause 6, the Scale of Charges beremoved from the Rules and Regulations and be replaced with, "The Scale of Charges are set annually by the Town Council and the current tariffs and fees will be published and displayed prominently at the Boat Park. The Council has the right to alter or a mend the scale of charges at its own discretion at any time."

With reference to section 7, it was noted that these as on ald a tess hould be included. The Committee agreed that inclause 7.3, the word "impounded" be deleted and replaced with "removed".

Thiswillalsoapplytoclause2.10and8.4.

The open inghours of the slip way we rereviewed subject to the request to allow 24 hour launching from the slip way. The difficulties of allowing for 24 hour launching inview of the proposed introduction of a barrier at the entrance of the Boat Park were highlighted. The main difficulty is the retrieval of boats and jets kis once the Boat Park Attendant has left, as entry with a car into the Boat Park will not be possible. After a lengthy discussion:-

ItwasAGREED:

Thatuntilmoredetailedinformationwithregardstobarrier entrysystemstocaterfordayuserswasavailablethatthe slipwayopeninghourswouldremaininplace.

ACTION: The Operations Manager will investigate barriers that will allow for daily launching.

TheneedtoincludeaclausetoallowfortheneedforreasonablechangestotheRules& Regulationswashighlighted.TheCommitteealsofeltthattherighttorefuseentryshould alsobe included.

4) GridLayoutandDesignProposals

Consideration was given to the proposed redesign of the Boat Park. The Committee recognised the need to change the layout as the present design was not working. Concern was expressed over the loss of seven grids, particularly inview of the expected increase in usage owing to jets kis.

The parking of jets kitrailers was discussed with the possibility of setting as idean area on the Downsfor parking trailers. The Operations Managerstated that Broad Roadhad already been reviewed as part of a CarPark Best Value Review and that there was a possibility of parking on a small section the Downs, close to fisher men's carpark.

The charge stobelevied on the grid sin the Boat Parkforthe 2004/2005 yearwere discussed in relation to the maximum potential income available. It was recognised that in order to attain best value at the Boat Park the projected loss as a result of decreasing the number of grid sin the Boat Park had to be taken into account when setting the fees. The potential loss is approximately 10% of the maximum potential income. The general feeling was that users would accept an increase in charge sproviding that changes were visible and conveyed in a positive way. It was also suggested that the rows and grid sbemore clearly marked. After a lengthy discussion:-

ItwasPROPOSEDbyCouncillorTyrer,SECONDEDbyCouncillorBrightandAGREED UNANIMOUSLY:

ThattheredesignoftheBoatParkbeimplemented andthatgridfeesbeincreasedby10%,plus3% inflation,toreflectthelossinpotentialincome.

5) GeneralIssues

 $\label{eq:mciantarPROPOSED} MrCiantarPROPOSED that the BoatParkAttendant, MrLeach, bethanked for his work at the BoatPark. MrLander SECONDED the proposal and it was AGREED UNANIMOUSLY by the Committee.$

6) **DateofNextMeeting**

The date of the next Meeting was not set. Members of the Boat Park Committee will be notified once the date has been set. The date of the site Meeting will be set to coincide with a site Meeting of the CarPark Best Value Review Working Group.

TheMeetingclosedat4.45p.m.
