# MinutesoftheMeetingofthe CARPARKS

## BESTVALUEREVIEWWORKINGGROUP heldatthe

# TownHall on Monday,29 th March 2004 at 2.15p.m.

### Present:-

CouncillorA.H.Miller–Chairman CouncillorCBright CouncillorMrsJFarrow CouncillorMrsH.O'Donovan CouncillorMTyrer

#### Alsoinattendance:-

MrA.J.LeesonTownClerk

MrGBrookes OperationsManager MrABurnet PeverilPointResident

### **Apologies**

Therewerenoapologiestoreport.

# ReviewofMinutes-Meetingconcludedon16 th February 2004

Therewerenomatterstoreportunderthisitem.

### PeverilPointResidentsSurvey-Report

Before circulating copies of the survey of the property owners at Peveril Point, Mr Burnet reported on the main points of the recently completed survey.

Mr Burnet explained that he had been successful in obtaining responses from each of the twenty four properties and highlighted the main findings:-

21% of properties were resident households (five).

79% were second homes/commercial lets.

100% of responses from residents agreed that it would be beneficial to introduce parking restrictions on Peveril Point.

 $87\% of residents responded that no restrictions be limited to the summer period only e.g. \\ July/August, May/October.$ 

Ifnoparkingrestrictionswereintroduced, 100% of residents responded that they could self-regulate parking on the Point.

65% of second homeowners considered that it would not be beneficial to introduce parking restrictions on Peveril Point.

If restrictions were to be introduced 77% of second homeowner responses suggested that a resident sandvisitor parkings cheme be considered.

Due to the multiple ownership of some properties, the Chairman sought clarification as to the detailed number of responses in order to ascertain any potential for skewing of the statistical results.

Considerationwasgiventothevariousoptionsincludingpricingpolicy,roadmarkings, enforcement, setup costs and other factors such as the relocation of the Coast guard cliff rescue team. After alengthy debate it was determined that the consultation process had recommenced and MrBurnet was invited to seek proposals for a suggested solution following further consultation with interested parties. It was stressed that all options be considered and it was AGREED:

That Mr Burnet reports the findings of further consultation at a meeting to be arranged in mid-June.

Consideration was given to the advice received from the Fire Service and after a brief discussion it was AGREED:

1)

))

ii)

V)

vii)

ThatdoubleyellowlinesbeinstalledontheaccessroadbetweenFolly KnapandtheHavendevelopment.

### FollowingarequestfromMrBurnetitwasFURTHERAGREED:

That details of the site meeting held with the Fire Officer be circulated to Mr Burnet and the members of the Working Group.

## GeneralIssues

The question of whether the new machines would accept the new chip and PIN system was raised. The Operations Manager reported that the new machines did not at present accept the system, however they were compatible with the hardware required. The hardware would cost approximately £250 to £300 per machine.

The Chairman reported on several signs that had been identified as unnecessary and would not need replacing. The wording of the required signs and the possibility of incorporating graphics on to the signs was discussed.

It was proposed by Councillor Tyrer, seconded by Councillor MrsO'Donovan and AGREED, with one ABSTENTION:

Thatthelargecarparksigns should incorporate graphics.

Councillor Tyrerraised his concerns at the parking of coaches on Gilbert Road. The possibility of subcontracting the enforcement of on-street parking from Dorset County Council was mooted and after further discussion:

ItwasRESOLVED:

ThattheCouncilmakefurtherenquiriesinordertopursuetheoption ofenforcingon-streetparking.

### **DateofNextMeeting**

The date of the next meeting was not set. Members would be informed at a later date.

IneMeetingclose	edat5.15p.m.		